Kingston Parish Council Planning Update

18th August 2023

| Application No & Location. | Validation Date | Description of Application | Summary of KPC in Comments | Status |
|---|--------------------|--|--|---------|
| Kingsbrook Farm The Street Kingston East Sussex BN7 3NT | 10/07/2023 | Prior Approval for a part change of use of agricultural buildings to Hotel (Class C1) and Recreation and Function Room (Class E(d)) under Schedule 2, Part 3, Class R of the General Permitted Development Order | Kingston Parish Council would like to object to the proposed change of use under permitted development as outlined in the application SDNP/23/02776/PA3R. We are mindful that this is an unusual approach to development and that the four categories on which prior approval will be gauged would not be revisited if a planning application is made at a later stage. Transport The Transport Statement Contains several inaccuracies and claims which require clarification. 2.5 The transport statement incorrectly state that The Street has a 30mph speed limit whereas it is 20mph and is accessed from the C324 which is subject to a 20mph zone for c 100 m in both directions from the junction with The Street. 3.11 The transport statement states that "5 full-time staff that work at Kingsbrook farm at existing" (sic). Section 4.6 states that 5 staff members were to have been employed including a stockman. The farm has no livestock as far as is known to KPC and the small market garden is unlikely to generate sufficient income for five members of staff. This assertion appears erroneous and unless it can be justified, using costings, should not feature in the decision-making process. 3.15 states that it is the intention for the scheme to be car-free. There is no reason to believe this will be the case and no rationale has been provided to suggest why this would be the case. It is highly likely that the proposal will generate substantial amounts of additional traffic. | Refused |

| Application No & Location. | Validation Date | Description of Application | Summary of KPC in Comments | Status |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|
| | | | 3.5 states that access is from the A272. At its | |
| | | | closest point the A272 is c 8 miles from the site | |
| | | | entrance in a straight line. | |
| | | | 3.19 This section contradicts itself and section 3.15 | |
| | | | by stating that it unlikely that all visitors will arrive | |
| | | | by car" It is likely that 2-3 guests will arrive | |
| | | | by car at any one time and are likely to car share". | |
| | | | There is no reason to assume this, and no | |
| | | | assessment appears to have been conducted. 3.6 The Transport Statement claims that there are | |
| | | | "adequate passing points for vehicles". There are | |
| | | | no parking restrictions and for much of the time | |
| | | | parked cars render The Street a single-track road | |
| | | | with no passing places. Kingston has no village car | |
| | | | park and residents, patrons of the pub as well as | |
| | | | walkers who come to Kingston to walk in the | |
| | | | countryside, park here so it is regularly presents | |
| | | | this way. There is no definition of "adequate "in | |
| | | | addition to the contradiction of the proposed | |
| | | | change of use not generating any additional traffic. | |
| | | | Moreover, there is no footway along this part of The | |
| | | | Street, between the junction with the C324 and | |
| | | | Barn Close, in which the entrance to Kingsbrook | |
| | | | Farm sits and all pedestrians walk in the middle of | |
| | | | the road, including parents with school-age children | |
| | | | walking to and from Iford and Kingston School. | |
| | | | Section 1.1 states that the Transport Statement is | |
| | | | drawn up for the applicant and cannot be relied | |
| | | | upon by any third parties in connection to this | |
| | | | proposed development. It is full of assumptions and mistakes and fails to provide mitigation for worst- | |
| | | | case scenarios if all or most people arrive | |
| | | | individually by car. There is no provision to deal | |
| | | | with potential traffic generation by visitors and | |
| | | | suppliers of deliveries to service the guest | |
| | | | accommodation and function room. Therefore, it | |
| | | | could be argued that it not relevant to this | |
| | | | application. | |
| | | | Noise | |

| Application No & Location. | Validation Date | Description of Application | Summary of KPC in Comments | Status |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--|--------|
| | | | Because of the lack of detail in the application it is difficult to assess the amount of noise that will be generated by users of any subsequent development. However, as the building for which the change of use would be class C1 it is probable that guests would use outdoor space for recreational purposes. There is no information about how such activities would be managed to minimise impact on immediate neighbours. It is to be noted that the rear of the building for which C1 change of use has been sought is withing 4 metres of neighbouring properties. The large open span buildings are open on one side, i.e. enclosed on three sides thus acting as acoustic enclosures amplifying the noise generated. As the walls are designed to face the prevailing weather, the open sides face North and East, which are the directions in which most neighbouring homes are located. Contamination As a former dairy farm, it is possible that residues of agricultural chemicals persist in the soil surrounding the buildings for which a change of use has been sought. For example: Herbicides, to control broad-leaved weeds in grassland Insecticides, particularly persistent organophosphate, for example to control warble fly Fertilizers Fuels and oils Cleaning agent associated with dairy hygiene. Cement-bonded asbestos Other contaminants should also be considered, and a full survey and testing regime should be reported to planners before approval is given. | |

| Application No & Location. | Validation Date | Description of Application | Summary of KPC in Comments | Status |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Application No & Location. | Date | Description of Application | Summary of KPC in CommentsFloodingThere are several seasonal springs which arise at the foot of the downs in the vicinity of Kingston and while none has been observed close to the site, given the ephemeral nature of their appearance a | Status |
| | | | Stipulate that the buildings in question have been in agricultural use within time limits set out in the legislation. No evidence has been produced to confirm conformity with these requirements. Conclusion Kingston Parish Council feels that a coherent plan for the site could benefit the owners, the community and enhance The National Park while at the same time addressing some of the concerns of local residents. Many of the assertions and omission contribute to a sense of anxiety about how the site might evolve. KPC believe a full Planning Application, without the preamble of a change of use, is the best route to assuage these fears and provide a durable development which provides some benefit to the community. | |

| Application No & Location. | Validation Date | Description of Application | Summary of KPC in Comments | Status |
|--|--------------------|---|--|----------------------------|
| SDNP/23/03167/HOUS Theakstone The Avenue Kingston East Sussex BN7 3LJ | 28/07/2023 | Erection of first floor with new roof and addition of dormers | The application is to add another storey to the single storey building. This will increase the floor space by about 75%. SDNPA Local Plan Policy 31 states that: 1. Development proposals for extensions to existing dwellings, and the provision of annexes and outbuildings will be permitted where: a) The proposal does not increase the floorspace of the existing dwelling by more than approximately 30% unless there are exceptional circumstances; As this proposal greatly exceeds this limit Kingston | Refused |
| | | | Parish Council object to the application as a gross overdevelopment of the site | |
| SDNP/23/03596/LDP Pantiles Barn Close Kingston East Sussex BN7 3PH | 04/09/2023 | Replacement of an existing conservatory with one of the same dimensions, removal of a chimney, addition of 3no rooflights to the rear pitch | The parish council has no comment to make on this application. | Approved |
| SDNP/23/03568/HOUS Manor Barn The Street Kingston Lewes East Sussex BN7 3PB | 13/09/2023 | Demolition and replacement of summerhouse in rear garden | The parish council has no comment to make on this application. | Approved |
| Juggs Corner Cottage Ashcombe Lane Kingston East Sussex BN7 3JY | 16/10/2023 | Demolition of an existing shed and the replacement with outbuilding of the same size and in the same location, potential addition of solar panels to this new outbuilding | | Application in progress |