

Kingston Parish Council Planning Update

18th August 2023

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
<p>SDNP/22/05983/FUL</p> <p>Castelmer Fruit Farm Ashcombe Lane Kingston Lewes East Sussex BN7 3JZ</p>	<p>06/01/2023</p>	<p>Demolition of existing dwelling, car workshop and MOT garage, poly-tunnels and erection of 10 dwellings, associated roads, car ports/garages/parking areas, landscaping including community orchard.</p>	<p>Kingston Parish Council (KPC) objects to the proposed development for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposals for the already overloaded sewage system are inadequate. • The Highways report is woefully inadequate, and a site-specific survey needs to be done to assess the impact of increased traffic on the junction and in the village. • The transport statement underestimates the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development and does not take properly into account the semi-rural nature of Kingston. • Insufficient consideration has been given to the safety of the junction of the Castelmer track with the C324 which should be redesigned. • The mix and ratios of affordable and market housing and the size of the market housing do not meet the needs of the local community nor the objectives of the local plan. • The design of the buildings is inappropriate for a rural setting and incompatible with the local vernacular and so does not meet the requirements of a landscape-led approach. 	<p>Application in progress</p>

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
		Revised plans received 15 th May 2023	<p>The full response from the Parish Council can be found on the SDNPA website by clicking on the link below.</p> <p>SDNP_22_05983_FUL--_KINGSTON_PARISH_COUNCIL-1795238.pdf (southdowns.gov.uk)</p> <p>Kingston Parish Council objects. Further objection comments here SDNP_22_05983_FUL--_KINGSTON_PARISH_COUNCIL-1845923.pdf (southdowns.gov.uk)</p>	
SDNP/23/01976/HOUS Highdown Kingston Ridge Kingston East Sussex BN7 3JX	12/05/2023	Erection of a single storey side extension	The parish council has no comment to make on this application.	Granted
SDNP/23/02038/HOUS Theakstone The Avenue Kingston East Sussex BN7 3LJ	18/05/2023	Erection of first floor with new roof and addition of dormers	<p>The application is to add another storey to the single storey building. This will increase the floor space by about 75%. SDNPA Local Plan Policy 31 states that:</p> <p>1. Development proposals for extensions to existing dwellings, and the provision of annexes and outbuildings will be permitted where:</p> <p>a) The proposal does not increase the floorspace of the existing dwelling by more than</p>	Refused

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
			<p>approximately 30% unless there are exceptional circumstances;</p> <p>As this proposal greatly exceeds this limit.</p> <p>The proposal is to double the number of bedrooms, from three to six. This development will result in the loss of a medium sized home further exacerbating the lack of family accommodation in a village where property is already unaffordable.</p> <p>Kingston Parish Council objects to this application.</p>	
SDNP/23/02525/HOUS 3 Monckton Way Kingston East Sussex BN7 3LD	16/06/2023	Erection of single storey side extension, replacement windows, new cladding and Installation of PV panels	Kingston Parish Council would prefer more thought to be given to the appearance of the cladding and its impact on the street scene. While we commend improvements to energy efficiency, is it not possible to do so while retaining the appearance of the house and for it to remain in keeping with neighbouring properties	Granted
Kingsbrook Farm The Street Kingston East Sussex BN7 3NT	10/07/2023	Prior Approval for a part change of use of agricultural buildings to Hotel (Class C1) and Recreation and Function Room (Class E(d)) under Schedule 2, Part 3, Class R of the General Permitted Development Order	Kingston Parish Council would like to object to the proposed change of use under permitted development as outlined in the application SDNP/23/02776/PA3R. We are mindful that this is an unusual approach to development and that the four categories on which prior approval will be gauged would not be revisited if a planning application is made at a later stage. <p>Transport</p> The Transport Statement Contains several inaccuracies and claims which require clarification.	Application in progress

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
			<p>2.5 The transport statement incorrectly state that The Street has a 30mph speed limit whereas it is 20mph and is accessed from the C324 which is subject to a 20mph zone for c 100 m in both directions from the junction with The Street.</p> <p>3.11 The transport statement states that “5 full-time staff that work at Kingsbrook farm at existing” (sic). Section 4.6 states that 5 staff members were to have been employed including a stockman. The farm has no livestock as far as is known to KPC and the small market garden is unlikely to generate sufficient income for five members of staff. This assertion appears erroneous and unless it can be justified, using costings, should not feature in the decision-making process.</p> <p>3.15 states that it is the intention for the scheme to be car-free. There is no reason to believe this will be the case and no rationale has been provided to suggest why this would be the case. It is highly likely that the proposal will generate substantial amounts of additional traffic.</p> <p>3.5 states that access is from the A272. At its closest point the A272 is c 8 miles from the site entrance in a straight line.</p> <p>3.19 This section contradicts itself and section 3.15 by stating that it unlikely that all visitors will arrive by car”..... It is likely that 2-3 guests will arrive by car at any one time and are likely to car share”. There is no reason to assume this, and no assessment appears to have been conducted.</p>	

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
			<p>3.6 The Transport Statement claims that there are “adequate passing points for vehicles”. There are no parking restrictions and for much of the time parked cars render The Street a single-track road with no passing places. Kingston has no village car park and residents, patrons of the pub as well as walkers who come to Kingston to walk in the countryside, park here so it is regularly presents this way. There is no definition of “adequate “in addition to the contradiction of the proposed change of use not generating any additional traffic. Moreover, there is no footway along this part of The Street, between the junction with the C324 and Barn Close, in which the entrance to Kingsbrook Farm sits and all pedestrians walk in the middle of the road, including parents with school-age children walking to and from Iford and Kingston School.</p> <p>Section 1.1 states that the Transport Statement is drawn up for the applicant and cannot be relied upon by any third parties in connection to this proposed development. It is full of assumptions and mistakes and fails to provide mitigation for worst-case scenarios if all or most people arrive individually by car. There is no provision to deal with potential traffic generation by visitors and suppliers of deliveries to service the guest accommodation and function room. Therefore, it could be argued that it not relevant to this application.</p> <p>Noise</p> <p>Because of the lack of detail in the application it is difficult to assess the amount of noise that will be</p>	

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
			<p>generated by users of any subsequent development. However, as the building for which the change of use would be class C1 it is probable that guests would use outdoor space for recreational purposes. There is no information about how such activities would be managed to minimise impact on immediate neighbours. It is to be noted that the rear of the building for which C1 change of use has been sought is withing 4 metres of neighbouring properties.</p> <p>The large open span buildings are open on one side, i.e. enclosed on three sides thus acting as acoustic enclosures amplifying the noise generated. As the walls are designed to face the prevailing weather, the open sides face North and East, which are the directions in which most neighbouring homes are located.</p> <p>Contamination</p> <p>As a former dairy farm, it is possible that residues of agricultural chemicals persist in the soil surrounding the buildings for which a change of use has been sought.</p> <p>For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Herbicides, to control broad-leaved weeds in grassland Insecticides, particularly persistent organo-phosphate, for example to control warble fly Fertilizers Fuels and oils 	

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
			<p>Cleaning chemicals, eg acids and alkaline cleaning agent associated with dairy hygiene.</p> <p>Cement-bonded asbestos</p> <p>Other contaminants should also be considered, and a full survey and testing regime should be reported to planners before approval is given.</p> <p>Flooding</p> <p>There are several seasonal springs which arise at the foot of the downs in the vicinity of Kingston and while none has been observed close to the site, given the ephemeral nature of their appearance a hydrological survey should be undertaken before additional infrastructure is installed. There is a nearby example of a development taking place which has resulted in a seasonal spring causing problems which should have been addressed prior to the development of the site in 2013 and which now causes problems on Wellgreen Lane and the footpath leading onto it.</p> <p>Agricultural use</p> <p>The requirements for permitted development stipulate that the buildings in question have been in agricultural use within time limits set out in the legislation. No evidence has been produced to confirm conformity with these requirements.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Kingston Parish Council feels that a coherent plan for the site could benefit the owners, the community and enhance The National Park while at the same time addressing some of the concerns of local</p>	

Application No & Location.	Validation Date	Description of Application	Summary of KPC in Comments	Status
			<p>residents. Many of the assertions and omission contribute to a sense of anxiety about how the site might evolve. KPC believe a full Planning Application, without the preamble of a change of use, is the best route to assuage these fears and provide a durable development which provides some benefit to the community.</p>	
<p>SDNP/23/03167/HOUS Theakstone The Avenue Kingston East Sussex BN7 3LJ</p>	<p>28/07/2023</p>	<p>Erection of first floor with new roof and addition of dormers</p>		<p>Application in progress</p>